

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

Marc E. Elias 700 13th St., NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

NOV 2 9 2016

RE: MUR 7061

Dear Mr. Elias:

On May 13, 2016, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, Hillary for America and Jose H. Villarreal in his official capacity as treasurer, of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On November 17, 2016, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint and information provided by you, that there is no reason to believe that your clients violated the Act or Commission regulations. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Other Matters, 81 Fed. Reg. 50,702 (Aug. 2, 2016). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Christopher L. Edwards, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1568.

Sincerely,

Mark Allen

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
3 4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS	Hillary Victory Fund Elizabeth Jones in her official of Hillary for America Jose Villerral in his official cap	•
8 9	I. INTROD	UCTION	
Ó	The Comp	laint alleges that a "Hillary Clinton	fundraiser" held on April 15, 2016, raised
1	contributions in excess of the applicable limits. The available information does not indicate that		
2	any of the Respondents violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the		
3	"Act"), because Hillary Victory Fund ("HVF") was able to solicit the fundraiser's maximum		
4	donation of \$353,400 per person.		
5	II. FACTUA	L AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
6	HVF, a joi	nt fundraising committee, held the c	described fundraiser in San Francisco,
7	California. ² HVF	's participants included Hillary for A	America ("HFA"), Hillary Clinton's
8	principal campaign committee for the 2016 presidential election, the Democratic National		
9	Committee ("DNC"), and 32 state Democratic Party committees. ³ According to HVF's website		
20	it distributes its contributions using the following formula:		
21	•	e first \$2,700/\$5,000 of each donational control of the HFA;	on from an individual/PAC

¹ See Compl. at 1 (May 9, 2016).

² See Hillary for America Resp. ("Resp.") at 1.

³ FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization, Hillary Victory Fund, (amended Nov. 2, 2015). This statement of organization was amended on July 1, 2016, to show that 38 state committees are now participating in HVF. See FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization, Hillary Victory Fund (amended July 1, 2016).

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Factual and Legal Analysis – MUR 7061 Hillary Victory Fund, et al. Page 2 of 3

- 2) The next \$33,400/\$15,000 of each donation from an individual/PAC is allocated to the DNC;⁴
- 3) Additional amounts are divided equally among the participating Democratic state party committees, with a maximum contribution of \$10,000/\$5,000 per state party.⁵

The Complaint alleges that the fundraiser accepted \$353,000 from individuals in excess of the contribution limits at 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a) because the state party participants were affiliated and subject to a single \$10,000 contribution limit.⁶ Respondents maintain that the participating state party committees are not affiliated and do not share a single \$10,000 contribution limit.⁷ The Commission agrees.

The Act imposes a \$10,000 limit on a person's contributions to a state party committee in any calendar year: "[n]o person shall make contributions . . . to a political committee established and maintained by a State committee of a political party in any calendar year which, in the aggregate, exceed \$10,000." This language indicates that the \$10,000 limit applies to contributions made to each state party committee; it does not apply the \$10,000 limit, in the aggregate, to all of the state party committees of a political party. Further, the regulations that apply to joint fundraising committees do not restrict an individual's ability to contribute up to the limit to multiple participating state party committees.

⁴ If a donation was made before the relevant primary election, then the second \$2,700/\$5,000 will be allocated to HFA's general election campaign fund, followed by the allocation set forth in parts 2 and 3. See https://www.hillaryclinton.com/donate/go/ (last visited Nov. 1, 2016).

See https://www.hillaryclinton.com/donate/go/ (last visited Nov. 1, 2016).

⁶ Compl. at 1.

⁷ See Resp. at 1.

⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(D) (emphasis added); see also 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(c)(5).

See 11 C.F.R. § 102.17(c)(5) ("Except to the extent that the contributor has previously contributed to any of the participants, a contributor may make a contribution to the joint fundraising effort which contribution represents the total amount that the contributor could contribute to all of the participants under the applicable limits of 11 CFR 110.1 and 110.2."). See also Advisory Op. 2004-12 (Democrats for the West) (advising that contributors could

Factual and Legal Analysis – MUR 7061 Hillary Victory Fund, et al. Page 3 of 3

1	The Complainant relies on 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a) and (b), which govern the contribution	
2	limits for affiliated committees and political party committees, respectively, but that position is	
3	not supported by those provisions. 10 While subpart (a) applies to most committees and	
4	membership organizations, it explicitly excludes political party committees. 11 Subpart (b)	
5	affiliates state party committees with their subordinate committees and clarifies that national an	
6	state committees are not affiliated. 12 Neither subpart (b) nor any other provision of the	
7	Commission's regulations affiliates state party committees from different states.	
8	Thus, the Complainant's allegations are not supported by the applicable law. The	
9	Commission therefore finds no reason to believe that the Respondents violated the Act or	
10	Commission regulations as a result of the activities described in the Complaint.	

contribute up to \$10,000 to "one or more" of nine state party committees that were each affiliated with a common committee).

¹⁰ Compl. at 1.

¹¹ See 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a)(2)(iv).

¹² See 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(b).